

**IFIB Number:**

**IFIB-SACT-ACT-18-75**

**Reference:**

**Q&A #2**

**Date of Issue:**

**3 January 2019**

The following questions were raised with respect to subject IFIB/RFP. Responses are to provide clarification.

<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>
1) Can you provide the Not To Exceed value for the IFB?	<b>There is not a not to exceed value for this IFIB. Per the Notice of Intent, the original estimated budget for this requirement was approximately \$560,000.00. Potential bidders are requested to price their proposal accordingly based on their best cost submission regardless of the budget we have set forth.</b>
2) Can you confirm Section 6. Company Evaluation Criteria is the full IFB Evaluation criteria?	<b>Yes, the Section 6 or Annex A is what you will be evaluated on for compliance and capability.</b>
3) How is pricing scored under the pricing factors?	<b>The bidder with the lowest pricing will receive full points (Usually 100 pts) for having the lowest price. The next lowest bidder will have a scale weighted in the difference between the lowest bidder multiplied by .40 (40% pricing evaluation). From that value, the bidder will get a score that will be added to the bidder's technical score to get a final total of points.</b>
4) Please clarify the following "partial bidding" discrepancy within Part 1 Bidding Instructions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ General, top of Page 3: Partial bidding on deliverables is not authorised.</li><li>○ Partial Bidding and Teaming Arrangements, middle of Page 4: Partial bidding is allowed.</li></ul>	<b>This was an administrative error by the contracting officer. An updated IFIB (Modification #1) has been posted.</b>
Would it be possible to modify and amend the	<b>No, these are our terms and conditions that</b>

terms of paragraph 33 **“Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software”** on page 36 of the solicitation?

**are set forth to ensure uniformity in all our IFIBs. It is very important that certain data rights retain with NATO ACT.**