

Headquarters Supreme Allied Commander Transformation

Norfolk, Virginia



QUESTION & ANSWERS IFIB-ACT-SACT-18-01

Request for Proposal Number: IFIB-ACT-SACT-18-01

Reference: Q&A #1

Date of Issue: 10 April 2018

The following questions were raised with respect to subject IFIB/RFP. Responses are to provide clarification.

Question	Response
Q1. I have reviewed the subject IFB and there does not seem to be a requirement as part of the proposal to submit CVs. Can you confirm that CVs are not required as part of the submission?	A1. CV's are a required element of the expected proposal submission and will be key in the evaluation process. See Amendment #1
Q2. Will the vendor who wins this contract face an OCI situation if they want to compete on other opportunities under CP9A0130?	A2. Please refer to page 3 of the solicitation which addresses this question.
Q3. Is there an incumbent performing this task right now on a similar CP? If so who is the incumbent?	A4. This is a new requirement.

<p>Q4. How is this IFIB related to the contract currently supported by MCR that provides key program management and technical support services to NATO ACT and ACO? For example, is this IFIB a follow on or replacement of the MCR contract?</p>	<p>A4. The incumbent provides a range of programmatic technical and management services to support the delivery of specified NATO Common-Funded Capability Packages within the C3 taxonomy. Collectively those services help provide the Strategic Commands with a Programme Management Office (PMO) function - with limited scope.</p> <p>This IFIB seeks to provide the Strategic Commands with similar programmatic services for the SATCOM capability. It does not replace, nor does it succeed, the MCR contact. It is complimentary in the sense that it expands the scope of programmatic support available within the Strategic Commands. It intends to adopt a similar coherent service-based framework in parallel to the extant MCR contract - to provide a more holistic PMO function across the C3 taxonomy, with the additional programmatic resource and skills demanded by SATCOM programme.</p>
<p>Q5. XXXX currently provides SATCOM support services to DoD (USAF and USN) as well as other agencies such as NRO and DISA. Is there a technical POC in your organization we can speak with to better understand the applicability of our capabilities to the needs to NATO ACT?</p>	<p>A5. Due to the open and active solicitation, all information exchange must be done formally through the Contracting Office and will be made public. After contract award has been published you may engage with the technical experts for more general discussion.</p>

<p>Q6. SOW states: Minimum 1 year in working in NATO or multi-national programme environment (or equivalent); Minimum 1 year in working in NATO at project level and within Capability Package process</p> <p>The two requirements differ. Please clarify which requirement ("...working in NATO..." or "...working in NATO or multinational programme environment (or equivalent)...") must be met.</p> <p>We believe the latter (C1 Matrix C1.9) will satisfactorily provide the requisite experience to meet ACT's needs.</p>	<p>A7. Please refer to Amendment 1</p>
<p>Q7. SOW states: Minimum 2 years in Satellite Communications (or closely related) experience in a subject matter expert role.</p> <p>Please define "or closely related" for Satellite Communications.</p>	<p>A7. Closely related could include any previous experience within C3 (command, communication and consultation) project or programme environment.</p>